Inorganic Chemistry

Cadmium(II) Complex Formation with Cysteine and Penicillamine

Farideh Jalilehvand,* Bonnie O. Leung, and Vicky Mah

Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4

Received November 27, 2008

The complex formation between cadmium(II) and the ligands cysteine (H₂Cys) and penicillamine (H₂Pen = 3, 3'-dimethylcysteine) in aqueous solutions, having $C_{Cd(II)} \sim 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and $C_{H_2L} = 0.2-2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, was studied at pH = 7.5 and 11.0 by means of ¹¹³Cd NMR and Cd K- and L₃-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy. For all cadmium (II)-cysteine molar ratios, the mean Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) bond distances were found in the ranges 2.52-2.54 and 2.27-2.35 Å, respectively. The corresponding cadmium(II)-penicillamine complexes showed slightly shorter Cd-S bonds, 2.50–2.53 Å, but with the Cd–(N/O) bond distances in a similar wide range, 2.28–2.33 Å. For the molar ratio $C_{H_{\rm L}L}/C_{\rm Cd(II)} = 2$, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts, in the range 509–527 ppm at both pH values, indicated complexes with distorted tetrahedral CdS₂N(N/O) coordination geometry. With a large excess of cysteine (molar ratios $C_{H_2Cys'}/C_{Cd(II)} \ge$ 10), complexes with CdS₄ coordination geometry dominate, consistent with the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts, $\delta \sim 680$ ppm at pH 7.5 and 636–658 ppm at pH 11.0, and their mean Cd–S distances were 2.53 \pm 0.02 Å. At pH 7.5, the complexes are almost exclusively sulfur-coordinated as $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^n$, while at higher pH, the deprotonation of the amine groups promotes chelate formation. At pH 11.0, a minor amount of the $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ complex with CdS₃N coordination is formed. For the corresponding penicillamine solutions with molar ratios $C_{H,Pen}/C_{Cd(II)} \ge 10$, the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts, δ \sim 600 ppm at pH 7.5 and 578 ppm at pH 11.0, together with the average bond distances, Cd-S 2.53 \pm 0.02 Å and Cd-(N/O) 2.30-2.33 Å, indicate that [Cd(penicillaminate)₃]^{*n*-} complexes with chelating CdS₃(N/O) coordination dominate already at pH 7.5 and become mixed with CdS₂N(N/O) complexes at pH 11.0. The present study reveals differences between cysteine and penicillamine as ligands to the cadmium(II) ion that can explain why cysteine-rich metallothionines are capable of capturing cadmium(II) ions, while penicillamine, clinically useful for treating the toxic effects of mercury(II) and lead(II) exposure, is not efficient against cadmium(II) poisoning.

Introduction

Cadmium(II) is generally known as a nonessential, highly toxic metal ion that acts as a carcinogen in mammals, inhibits the growth of plants by interfering with photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism, and decreases the uptake of water and minerals.¹ Recent studies, however, on the marine diatom Thalassiosira weissflogii showed evidence of the first cadmium-specific enzyme, cadmium(II)-carbonic anhydrase, which actually has a preliminary function in the diatom's photosynthesis of catalyzing the dehydration of HCO₃⁻ to $CO_2^{2,3}$

A well-known example of cadmium poisoning is the Itai-Itai disease (Itai = pain in Japanese), which was caused by cadmium released from mining waste into the Jinzu River in Japan, contaminating large agricultural areas.⁴ Metallothioneins

(MTs), which are a family of cysteine-rich polypeptides with low molecular weight,⁵ are active in vivo in removing heavy metal ions such as Cd^{2+} and Hg^{2+} through thiolate coordination from the cysteine residues.^{6–8} Even though the toxic effects of cadmium(II) are inhibited when bound to metallothionein (Cd-MT), a sufficient amount of MT must be synthesized in vivo to block cadmium toxicity.⁵ Cadmium(II) mainly accumulates in the liver (80-90% as Cd-MT) and, to a lesser extent, in the kidneys (55-65% as Cd-MT) and other tissues.⁹

No effective antidote is known to counteract cadmium poisoning, although to some extent cysteine (H₂Cys), homocysteine, N-acetylcysteine, and glutathione prevent cell uptake by binding to cadmium(II) through their thiol groups.5,10 On the other hand, penicillamine (3,3'-dimethylcysteine),

(9) Goyer, R. A.; Miller, C. R.; Zhu, S.-Y.; Victery, W. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1989, 101, 232-244.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: faridehj@ ucalgary.ca.

⁽¹⁾ Deckert, J. Biometals 2005, 18, 475-481.

⁽²⁾ Lane, T. W.; Saito, M. A.; George, G. N.; Pickering, I. J.; Prince, (2) Late, T. H., Setter, M. M., Setter, S. C., Morel, F. F. M. Nature 2005, 435, 42.
(3) Lane, T. W.; Morel, F. M. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000, 97,

⁴⁶²⁷⁻⁴⁶³¹

⁽⁴⁾ Ishihara, T.; Kobayashi, E.; Okubo, Y.; Suwazono, Y.; Kido, T.; Nishijyo, M.; Nakagawa, H.; Nogawa, K. Toxicology 2001, 163, 23-28.

⁽⁵⁾ Shaikh, Z. A.; Vu, T. T.; Zaman, K. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1999, 154, 256-263.

⁽⁶⁾ Vašák, M.; Kagi, J. H. R.; Hill, H. A. O. Biochemistry 1981, 20, 2852-2856.

⁽⁷⁾ Boulanger, Y.; Goodman, C. M.; Forte, C. P.; Fesik, S. W.; Armitage, I. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1983, 80, 1501-1505.

⁽⁸⁾ Henkel, G.; Krebs, B. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 801-824.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Fotakis, G.; Timbrell, J. A. Toxicol. in Vitro 2006, 20, 641-648.

Article

commonly used in reducing toxic effects of mercury and lead exposure, is not efficient in cadmium(II) treatments.¹¹ We have studied the structure and coordination of the cadmium(II) complexes formed with cysteine and penicillamine both at pH 7.5 and at pH 11.0 in aqueous solutions with $C_{\rm Cd(II)} \sim 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ for ligand-to-metal ratios from 2.0 to 20, to find explanations for the different efficiencies that would allow for more effective detoxifying chelating agents to be designed.

There are numerous reports on formation constants of cadmium(II) cysteine complexes; however, differences in the experimental conditions (e.g., temperature, ionic medium, concentration range) restrict their applicability for the present investigation.^{12,13} We have used the formation constants determined through potentiometric methods by Cole et al.¹⁴ to generate the diagrams showing the distribution of the complexes versus pH that are displayed in Figure S-1 (Supporting Information).

In a similar study, Corrie and co-workers reported mononuclear cadmium(II)-penicillamine complex formation in 3 mol dm⁻³ NaClO₄ as an ionic medium.¹⁵ Avdeef and Kearney interpreted alkalimetric titrations of cadmium (II)-penicillamine solutions with protonated polynuclear complexes dominating in the pH range $4-8^{16}$ and suggested that the formation of these complexes was suppressed at high ionic strengths. The formation constants from both studies have been used to generate the distribution diagrams shown in Figure S-2a and b (Supporting Information).

In the current study, we have combined ¹¹³Cd NMR and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (Cd K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and Cd L₃-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES)) to investigate the structure of cadmium(II) complexes with cysteine or penicillamine as ligands in aqueous solution. Recent development has made ¹¹³Cd NMR a useful technique for classifying the coordination environment in cadmium(II) complexes. The ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shift shows a strong correlation to the type of coordinating ligand atom, with sulfur as the most deshielding, followed by nitrogen and finally oxygen.^{17,18} Chemical shifts reported for several biologically relevant mononuclear cadmium(II) thiolate complexes are collected in Table 1, including solid-state δ_{iso} (¹¹³Cd) for a cadmium(II) cysteaminate complex with CdS₃N₂ coordination geometry for comparison. It should be emphasized, however, that ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts cannot only be interpreted on the basis of the type and number of donor atoms (e.g., S, N or O), since cadmium magnetic shielding tensors are sensitive to many other factors such as the type of the ligand, its coordination mode (bridging vs terminal), and the coordination number or geometry of cadmium(II) ions (i.e., four-, five-, or six-coordinated).¹⁹

- (12) Berthon, G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1996, 52, 1–9.
 (13) Bottari, E.; Festa, M. R. Talanta 1997, 44, 1705–1718.
 (14) Cole, A.; Furnival, C.; Huang, Z.-X.; Jones, D. C.; May, P. M.;

Smith, G. L.; Whittaker, J.; Williams, D. R. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1985, 108, 165-171.

- (15) Corrie, M. A.; Walker, M. D.; Williams, D. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 1012-1015.
- (16) Avdeef, A.; Kearney, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7212-7218. (17) Oz, G.; Pountney, D. L.; Armitage, I. M. Biochem. Cell Biol. 1998, 76, 223-234.
- (18) Summers, M. F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1988, 86, 43-134.
- (19) Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, R. E. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 2766-2773.

Table 1. Reported ¹¹³Cd Chemical Shifts for Biologically Relevant, Mononuclear Cadmium(II)-Thiolate Coordination Sites

	chemical shift (δ , ppm)	ref		
CdS₄	650, 680, 704-751	20-24		
CdS ₃	572, 684-690	27-30		
CdS ₃ O	560-645	24, 27-29, 48		
CdS ₃ N	637-659	49-52		
$CdS_3N_2^a$	669	39		
CdS_2N_2	519	20		
$CdS_2NO_w^b$	483	20		
CdS ₂ NO ₂	442	53, 54		
$CdSS*N_2^{c}$	432	55		

^{*a*}Solid-state NMR for cadmium(II)-cysteaminate (CdS₃N₂). ${}^{b}O_{w}$, water. ${}^{c}S^{*}$, thioether or disulfide.

For CdS₄ coordination, the observed $\delta(^{113}$ Cd) range is rather wide. High-frequency $\delta(^{113}$ Cd) shifts have been reported for [Cd(*S*-cysteinate)₄]²⁻ in cadmium(II)-substi-tuted LADH (751 ppm),²⁰ rubredoxin (723 - 732 ppm),^{21,22} and the DNA binding domain of the glucocorticoid hormone receptor (704, 710 ppm).²³ For a designed cysteine-rich TRI peptide bound to cadmium(II), two signals were observed at 650 and 680 ppm for the distorted tetrahedral CdS₄ sites, with the difference originating from "small geometric orientations in the coordination environment".²⁴ For CdS₄ sites with bridging thiolate groups, the chemical shifts are generally more shielded. Examples are the dinuclear cadmium(II) binding site of the GAL4 protein (669 and ppm),^{25,26} and Cd(II)-loaded metallothionine 707 (Cd₇-MT) with several resonances in the 610-680 ppm region, which were interpreted as evidence for two sets of clusters, Cd₃S₉ and Cd₄S₁₁, with bridging cysteine sulfur atoms.

Pecoraro et al. recently reported ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts for the first water-soluble three-coordinated CdS₃ structure ($\delta = 684-690$ ppm), using designed peptides that specifically bind cadmium(II) ions via bulky Pen residues.^{27–29} The result calls for re-evaluation of an earlier assignment of the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift at 572 ppm to a pure CdS_3 coordination.³⁰

The XANES region of the cadmium L_3 edge has been proposed to be sensitive to the local structure around cadmium and displays a characteristic pre-edge peak for cadmium complexes with oxygen or nitrogen coordination, while for tetrahedral CdS₄ coordination, the edge is smooth

- 1993, 2, 1756-1764. (22) Lee, H. J.; Lian, L. -Y.; Scrutton, N. S. Biochem. J. 1997, 328, 131-136.
- (23) Pan, T.; Freedman, L. P.; Coleman, J. E. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 9218-9225.
- (24) Luczkowski, M.; Stachura, M.; Schirf, V.; Demeler, B.; Hemmingsen, L.; Pecoraro, V. L. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 10875-10888
- (25) Baleja, J. D.; Marmorstein, R.; Harrison, S. C.; Wagner, G. Nature 1992, 356, 450-453.
- (26) Gardner, K. H.; Pan, T.; Narula, S.; Rivera, E.; Coleman, J. E. Biochemistry 1991, 30, 11292-11302.
- (27) Lee, K.-H.; Cabello, C.; Hemmingsen, L.; Marsh, E. N. G.; Pecoraro, V. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2864-2868.
- (28) Iranzo, O.; Cabello, C.; Pecoraro, V. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6688-6691.
- (29) Peacock, A. F. A.; Hemmingsen, L.; Pecoraro, V. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 16566-16571.
- (30) Li, X.; Suzuki, K.; Kanaori, K.; Tajima, K.; Kashiwada, A.; Hiroaki,
- H.; Kohda, D.; Tanaka, T. Protein Sci. 2000, 9, 1327-1333.

⁽¹¹⁾ Shibasaki, T.; Matsumoto, H.; Gomi, H.; Ohno, I.; Ishimoto, F.; Sakai, O. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 1996, 52, 1-9.

⁽²⁰⁾ Bobsein, B. R.; Myers, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2454-2455. (21) Henehan, C. J.; Pountney, D. L.; Zerbe, O.; Vašák, M. Protein Sci.

solution	H_2L/Cd^{II} ratio	$[\mathrm{Cd}^{2^+}]_{\mathrm{tot}}^{b}$	[H ₂ L] _{tot}	pН	solution	H_2L/Cd^{II} ratio	$[\mathrm{Cd}^{2^+}]_{\mathrm{tot}}^{b}$	[H ₂ L] _{tot}	pН
				L =	- Cys				
A1	2.0	100	200	7.5	A2	2.0	100	200	11.0
B1	3.0	100	300	7.5	B2	3.0	99	301	11.0
C1	4.0	100	401	7.5	C2	4.0	100	400	11.1
D1	5.0	100	500	7.5	D2	5.0	99	499	11.0
E1	10.0	100	1000	7.5	E2	10.1	92	927	10.9
F1	15.0	100	1498	7.5	F2	14.6	103	1500	11.1
G1	19.9	76	1513	7.5	G2	19.5	93	1818	11.1
				L =	Pen				
H1	2.0	100	200	7.5	H2	2.0	100	200	11.3
I1	3.0	100	301	7.6	I2	3.0	100	299	11.1
J1	4.0	100	399	7.5	J2	4.0	100	399	11.0
K1	5.0	100	500	7.4	K2	5.0	100	500	11.0
L1	10.0	87	867	7.5	L2	10.0	87	869	11.0
M1	14.9	68	1014	7.5	M2	14.6	103	1501	11.0
N1	20.1	46	926	7.5	N2	19.4	89	1725	11.0

^a Concentrations in mmol dm⁻³. ^b The [Cd²⁺]_{tot} concentrations are within ± 3 mmol dm⁻³, according to the ICP analysis.

and almost featureless.^{31,32} We recently measured the Cd L₃edge XANES spectra for a series of crystalline cadmium complexes with $CdS_x(N/O)_y$ configurations and observed that the distinct pre-edge peak at 3539.1 eV (corresponding to a Cd 2p \rightarrow 5d transition) in the Cd(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O spectrum (CdO₆ model) gradually merges into the absorption edge of the model compounds for CdS₂O₄, CdS₃O₃, CdS₆, CdS₃O, and CdS₂N₂ coordination and finally disappears in the CdS₃N₂ and CdS₄ spectra.³³

The present study on cadmium(II) complex formation with cysteine and penicillamine is part of a continuing project to obtain structural information on complexes of heavy metals with biomolecules to facilitate understanding of the function of such species in biological systems.³⁴

Experimental Section

Sample Preparation. Cadmium(II) perchlorate hydrate $Cd(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, L-cysteine, D-penicillamine, and sodium hydroxide (Sigma Aldrich) were used without further purification. The preparations were performed under an argon atmosphere using oxygen-free boiled water to prevent oxidation of the cysteine and penicillamine ligands. The pH of the solutions was monitored with a Corning Semi-Micro electrode.

Cadmium(II) Cysteine/Penicillamine Solutions. Table 2 presents the compositions of the cadmium(II)–cysteine (**A**–**G**) and the cadmium(II)–penicillamine (**H**–**N**) solutions, which were prepared with ligand-to-metal molar ratios $C_{\rm H_2L}/C_{\rm Cd(II)}$ from 2.0 to 20 and adjusted to different pH values (7.5 and 11.0) in two series. Cysteine or penicillamine (2–20 mmol) was dissolved in oxygen-free water (containing 10% D₂O), and a weighed amount of Cd(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (1 mmol) was added. A white precipitate immediately formed with cysteine, and the pH, ~1.6, was recorded. No precipitate was formed for penicillamine. Dropwise addition of 6 mol dm⁻³ of NaOH dissolved the precipitate around a pH of 6–7 (the lower pH for high L/M ratios), and the clear solutions were collected at a pH of 7.5 and 11.0. The total cadmium(II) concentration was checked for A2– E2 and H2–L2 with a Thermo Jarrell Ash AtomScan 16 inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrophotometer (ICP-AES).

¹¹³Cd NMR Measurements. The ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra shown in Figures 1 and 2 were collected at 300 K (27 °C) with a Bruker AMX2-300 spectrometer at 66.6 MHz, using a 10 mm broadband (BBO) probe, a 7.0 microsecond 90° pulse, and a recycle delay of 5.0 s. All solutions contained $\sim 10\%$ D₂O. A 0.1 mol dm⁻³ solution of Cd(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O in D₂O was used as an external reference (0 ppm).¹⁸ All spectra were proton-decoupled and measured with a sweep width of 850– 900 ppm. The total number of collected scans for the cadmium (II) cysteine and penicillamine solutions as well as the fwhh of the NMR signals are shown in Table S-1 (Supporting Information).

X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy. Cadmium K-edge EX-AFS spectra were collected at BL 2-3 and 7-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) under dedicated conditions of 3.0 GeV and 70–100 mA. Higher harmonics from a Si[220] double-crystal monochromator were rejected by detuning to 50% of the maximum incident beam intensity. The spectra were recorded in transmission mode, with argon in the first ion chamber (I₀) and krypton in the second (I₁) and third (I₂) ion chambers. The solutions were enclosed in 10 mm Teflon spacers between 4 μ m polypropylene film windows. Three to five scans were collected for each sample. Before averaging, the energy scale was externally calibrated for each scan by assigning the first inflection point of the Cd K-edge of a Cd foil to 26711.0 eV.

The Cd L₃-edge XANES measurements were performed at beamline 9-A of the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (Photon Factory), Tsukuba, Japan. The ring operates under dedicated conditions at 2.5 GeV and 350– 400 mA. The data were collected in fluorescence mode with helium in the first ion chamber (I₀) and an argonfilled Lytle detector (I_f). Higher harmonics from a Si[111] double-crystal monochromator were rejected by means of nickel- and rhodium-coated mirrors. Solution samples were enclosed in 5 mm Teflon spacers between 4 μ m polypropylene windows. For each sample, two or three scans were collected, externally calibrated by assigning the first inflection point of the Cd L₃ edge of a Cd foil to 3537.6 eV, and then averaged.

X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) Data Analysis. The WinXAS 3.1 program suite was used for the data analysis.³⁵

⁽³¹⁾ Pickering, I. J.; Prince, R. C.; George, G. N.; Rauser, W. E.; Wickramasinghe, W. A.; Watson, A. A.; Dameron, C. T.; Dance, I. G.; Fairlie, D. P.; Salt, D. E. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1999**, *1429*, 351–364.

⁽³²⁾ Isaure, M.-P.; Fayard, B.; Sarret, G.; Pairis, S.; Bourguignon, J. *Spectrochim. Acta B* **2006**, *61*, 1242–1252.

⁽³³⁾ Jalilehvand F.; Mah V.; Leung, B. O.; Mink, J.; Hajba L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 4219–4230.

⁽³⁴⁾ Jalilehvand, F.; Leung, B. O.; Izadifard, M.; Damian, E. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 66–73.

⁽³⁵⁾ Ressler, T. J. Synchrotron Rad. 1998, 5, 118-122.



Figure 1. ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra of \sim 0.1 mol dm⁻³ cadmium(II) cysteine solutions with increasing amount of cysteine at pH 7.5 (a) and 11 (b). The variation of the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift versus total cysteine concentration is shown in part c.



Figure 2. ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra of cadmium(II) penicillamine solutions with increasing amount of penicillamine at pH 7.5 (a) and 11 (b). The variation of the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift for cadmium(II) cysteine and penicillamine solutions versus total ligand concentration is shown in part c.

The background absorption was subtracted with a first-order polynomial over the pre-edge region, followed by normalization of the edge step. For the Cd K-edge XAS spectra, the energy scale was converted into k space, where $k = (8\pi^2 m_e/h^2)(E - E_0)$, using the threshold energy $E_0 = 26710.0-26711.3$ eV. The EXAFS oscillation was then extracted using a seven-segment cubic spline to remove the atomic background absorption above the edge.

The EXAFS model functions, $\chi(k)$, were constructed by means of the FEFF 8.1 program,^{36,37} to obtain the ab

(37) Ankudinov, A. L.; Rehr, J. J. Phys. Rev. B 1997, 56, R1712-R1716.

initio calculated amplitude $f_{\rm eff}(k)_i$, phase shift $\phi_{ij}(k)$, and mean free path $\lambda(k)$ functions (eq 1). The FEFF input file was generated by means of the ATOMS program,³⁸ using structural information from the crystal structure of the reference compound Cd(SCH₂CH₂NH₂)₂ (as CdS₃N₂ model) with both short and long Cd–S, Cd–N(/O), and Cd–Cd distances.³⁹ Note that two neighboring elements in the periodic table (such as oxygen and nitrogen) obtain very similar amplitude functions $f_{\rm eff}(k)_i$ and cannot be distinguished by EXAFS.

⁽³⁶⁾ Zabinsky, S. I.; Rehr, J. J.; Ankudinov, A.; Albers, R. C.; Eller, M. J. *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *52*, 2995–3009.

⁽³⁸⁾ Ravel, B. J. Synchrotron Rad. 2001, 8, 314-316.

⁽³⁹⁾ Bharara, M. S.; Kim, C. H.; Parkin, S.; Atwood, D. A. *Polyhedron* 2005, *24*, 865–871.

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{i} \frac{N_i \cdot S_0^2(k)}{k \cdot R_i^2} |f_{\text{eff}}(k)|_i \exp(-2k^2 \sigma_i^2)$$
$$\exp[-2R_i/\lambda(k)] \sin[2kR_i + \phi_{ii}(k)] \quad (1)$$

The structural parameters were refined by least-squares methods, fitting the k^3 -weighted model function $\chi(k)$ to the experimental unfiltered EXAFS oscillation over the k range 3.5-12.0 Å $^{-1}$ (11.2 Å $^{-1}$ for solution A2), allowing the bond distance (R), Debye–Waller parameter (σ), and ΔE_0 (correlated parameter for all scattering paths) to float, while the amplitude reduction factor (S_0^2) and sometimes coordination number (N) were fixed. The fitting results are shown in Figures 3 and 4 and Tables 3 and 4. The estimated errors of the refined coordination numbers, bond distances, and their Debye–Waller parameters for the dominating Cd-S path are estimated to be within 20%, ± 0.02 Å, and ± 0.001 Å², respectively, including effects of systematic deviations. The corresponding structural parameters for the Cd-(N/O) path are less accurate, that is, ± 0.04 Å and $\pm 0.003 - 0.005$ Å² for bond distances and Debye-Waller parameters, respectively, due to the difficulties associated with separating the EXAFS contribution of the light oxygen and nitrogen atoms from that of the heavier sulfur atom.

Results

¹¹³Cd NMR Spectroscopy. The ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra obtained for the cadmium(II)–cysteine solutions having $C_{\text{Cd(II)}} \sim 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ at pH 7.5 (A1–G1) and 11.0 (A2–G2) are shown in Figure 1. The solutions contain several cadmium(II) cysteine species, as indicated by the distributions of complexes calculated for compositions corresponding to solutions A, B, D, and E, with the use of the equilibrium constants in ref 14, see Figure S-1 (Supporting Information). The increase in the total cysteine concentration in solutions B-G resulted in more deshielded ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts, indicating a high degree of thiol coordination in the cadmium(II) complexes. For solutions A-C, chemical exchange reactions with intermediate rates (on the NMR time scale) between the several Cd(II) species in equilibrium resulted in an averaged broad signal for each solution. Considerably sharper NMR signals were obtained for solutions D-G with a high total cysteine concentration, which may be due to a single dominating cadmium(II) complex or faster ligand exchange between different cadmium(II) species in the solution. The alkaline solutions B2-G2 showed somewhat more shielded chemical shifts than the corresponding solutions B1-G1 at pH 7.5, probably due to an increase in chelate Cd(II)-(S,N-Cys) coordination of the cysteinate ligands (Cys²⁻) when the amine group deprotonates at higher pH. The NMR signals were generally narrower for alkaline solutions than for the corresponding neutral ones, especially for A2-C2, which indicates a faster ligand exchange process, probably promoted by the increasing availability of -NH₂ groups or OH⁻ ions.

The ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra for the cadmium(II)-penicillamine solutions (H–N) with $C_{\rm H_2Pen}/C_{\rm Cd(II)}$ ratios from 2.0 to 20 are shown in Figure 2, and the distributions of the cadmium(II)-penicillamine complexes for solutions



Figure 3. Least-squares curve-fitting of k^3 -weighted Cd K-edge EXAFS spectra of the cadmium(II)-cysteine solutions at pH = 7.5 (A1-G1) and pH = 11.0 (A2-G2) and the corresponding Fourier transforms, using a model containing both Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) paths (see Table 3).

H, **I**, **K**, and **L** according to the available stability constants¹⁵ are presented in Figure S-2 (Supporting Information). The observed chemical shifts for solutions **H1** and **H2** having $C_{\text{H}_2\text{Pen}} = 0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ were close to those of the corresponding cadmium(II) cysteine solutions **A1** and **A2**, and therefore similar coordination environments are expected around the cadmium(II) ions.

Similar to the cadmium(II)–cysteine solutions, the increase in total concentration of penicillamine for solutions H–N resulted in more deshielded NMR signals, even though the range of $\Delta\delta$ (¹¹³Cd) was considerably more limited. At pH 7.5, the NMR peak for cadmium(II)–penicillamine solutions shifts from 509 to 607 ppm for H1–M1 ($\Delta\delta \sim 100$ ppm), while for the corresponding cysteine solutions, the shift is from 518 to 679 ppm ($\Delta\delta \sim 160$ ppm) for A1–F1. A similar decrease was observed for the alkaline solutions, with a



Figure 4. Least-squares curve-fitting of k^3 -weighted Cd K-edge EXAFS spectra of cadmium(II)-penicillamine solutions at pH = 7.5 (H1-L1) and pH = 11.0 (H2-N2) and the corresponding Fourier transforms (see Table 4).

difference of \sim 70 ppm between the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for the Cd(II)-penicillamine solutions H2 and N2, at 510 and 578 ppm, respectively, compared with a difference of \sim 130 ppm between the Cd(II)-cysteine solutions A2 and G2 at 527 and 658 ppm, respectively. This indicates a higher tendency for Cd(II) ions to coordinate to the thiolate groups from cysteine than from penicillamine.

The NMR peaks for all of the alkaline cadmium(II)– penicillamine solutions (H2–N2) were sharp, while at pH 7.5, the peaks were broader, especially for solutions H1– K1, indicating ligand exchange with an intermediate rate (on the NMR time scale) between cadmium(II) penicillamine complexes. For solution H1 (H₂Pen/Cd(II) = 2.0, pH = 7.5), the broad ¹¹³Cd resonance became much sharper as the solution pH was increased to 11.0 in H2, while remaining in the same position at 510 ppm. This signal is even sharper than that of the corresponding cadmium(II)–cysteine solution A2, indicating that a single stable cadmium(II) complex with penicillamine is formed in H2, probably [Cd(Pen)₂]^{2–}, according to the calculated distribution diagram in Figure S-2 (Supporting Information).

X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy: Cd K-Edge EXAFS. The least-squares curve-fitting results for the k^3 -weighted Cd K-edge EXAFS spectra of the cadmium(II) cysteine and penicillamine solutions are shown in Tables 3 and 4 and Figures 3 and 4. Since the coordination number, amplitude reduction factor (S_0^2) , and Debye–Waller parameters (σ^2) all contribute to the amplitude of the EXAFS oscillation and are strongly correlated, the S_0^2 value was kept constant at 0.87 in all refinements to facilitate comparisons. This value was chosen by calibrating the amplitude reduction factors to 0.87 and 0.85 for two crystalline cadmium(II) complexes, imidazolium tris(thiosaccharinato)aqua cadmate(II) (HIm)[Cd(tsac)₃(H₂O)] (CdS₃O model) and bis(thiosaccharinato)bis(imidazole) cadmium(II) $[Cd(tsac)_2(Im)_2]$ (CdS₂N₂ model), respectively, see Figure S-3a and b (Supporting Information).⁴⁰ The estimated error in the coordination numbers obtained for Cd-S path in the refinement procedure is $\sim 20\%$. For each solution, two fitting models were applied: one with only a single Cd-S shell and the other including both Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) scattering paths. Often, the fitting residuals had very minor differences, and only by combining them with information from the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts could the more appropriate model be chosen. For most cadmium (II)-cysteine and penicillamine solutions, the mean Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) bond distances were obtained within the ranges 2.52–2.54 Å and 2.28–2.35 Å, respectively, which are consistent with what is expected for cadmium(II) complexes with tetrahedral $CdS_2(N/O)_2$, $CdS_3(N/O)$, and CdS₄ configurations (Supporting Information in ref 33). However, the contribution from the light coordinated atoms (oxygen or nitrogen) to the EXAFS oscillation is difficult to separate from the dominating backscattering of the sulfur atoms, and therefore, in the model refinements, the coordination number for the Cd-(N/O) scattering pathway often was fixed at N = 1 or 2, on the basis of the observed ¹¹³Cd chemical shift values.

X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy: Cd L₃-Edge XANES. The normalized Cd L_3 -edge XANES spectra and the corresponding smoothed second derivatives for the cadmium(II)-cysteine solutions A2-G2 (pH 11.0), as well as those of a few related crystalline compounds with $CdS_{x}(N/O)_{y}$ coordination, are shown in Figure 5. The XANES spectra of solutions A2–G2 were rather similar, with only a gradual change in the second derivatives. For solutions A2-D2, the XANES spectra and their second derivatives were intermediate to the spectra of Cd(cysteaminate)₂ (as the CdS_3N_2 model) and bis(thiosaccharinato)bis(imidazole) cadmium(II) [Cd(tsac)₂(Im)₂] (as the CdS_2N_2 model) (Figure 5 and Figure S-4, Supporting Information).^{39,40} As the amount of cysteine in solutions E2-G2 increased to a 10-20-fold excess of the ligand, the relative intensity of the two main features in the second derivative gradually became almost equal. For solution **G2**, both the Cd L_3 -edge XANES spectrum and its second derivative are quite similar to those for the CdS₄ standard complex, (Et₃NH)₄[S₄Cd₁₀(SPh)₁₆] (Figure 5 and Figure S-4, Supporting Information).

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Tarulli, S. H.; Quinzani, O. V.; Baran, E. J.; Piro, O. E.; Castellano, E. E. J. Mol. Struct. **2003**, 656, 161–168.

5764 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 48, No. 13, 2009

Table 3. Cd K-Edge EXAFS Data Analysis for	Cadmium(II) Cysteine Solutions at p	H = 7.5 (A1-G1) and pH =	11.0 (A2–G2, see Figure 3) ^{a}
--	-------------------------------------	----------------------------	--

solution	¹¹³ Cd NMR (δ , ppm)	Cd-S			Cd-(N/O)				
		N	$R(\text{\AA})$	σ^2 (Å ²)	N	$R(\text{\AA})$	σ^2 (Å ²)	R^b	
A1	518	3.6	2.52	0.0080				13.0	
		2.5	2.54	0.0056	1 f	2.30	0.0053	13.8	
		1.9	2.54	0.0047	2f	2.34	0.0065	13.7(*)	
B1	585	3.6	2.52	0.0065	5			12.8	
		2.8	2.54	0.0058	1 f	2.35	0.0031	13.2(*)	
		2.1	2.55	0.0050	2f	2.36	0.0043	13.7	
C1	627	3.7	2.52	0.0056	<i>.</i>			9.3	
		3.7	2.52	0.0069	1 f	2.41	0.0016	9.3	
		3 f	2.54	0.0050	0.9	2.35	0.0030	9.5(*)	
D1	655	3.9	2.53	0.0055				10.2(*)	
		2.7	2.54	0.0033	1f	2.31	0.0012	10.6 ^c	
		3.5 f	2.53	0.0049	0.5 f	2.31	0.0036	10.5	
E1	677	4.1	2.53	0.0053	·			9.9(*)	
		3.0	2.54	0.0031	1f	2.30	0.0018	10.2^{c}	
		3 f	2.54	0.0030	0.8	2.29	0.0001	10.0^{c}	
F1	679	4.0	2.52	0.0049				10.4(*)	
		4.0	2.53	0.0068	1 f	2.39	-0.0010	9.5 [°]	
		3 f	2.55	0.0056	2.0	2.38	0.0014	10.1^{c}	
G1	680	3.8	2.53	0.0042				12.5(*)	
		3.3	2.53	0.0035	1 f	2.32	0.0111	12.6 ^c	
		3 f	2.54	0.0029	1.1	2.31	0.0065	12.9^{c}	
A2	527	3.9	2.52	0.0095				13.3	
		3.2	2.52	0.0076	1f	2.20	0.0114	11.3	
		2.9	2.52	0.0072	2f	2.25	0.0199	11.3	
		2f	2.53	0.0049	2f	2.29	0.0094	12.5(*)	
B2	556	3.3	2.51	0.0063				12.6	
		2.5	2.51	0.0043	1f	2.25	0.0063	10.3	
		2.2	2.52	0.0040	2f	2.30	0.0119	10.5(*)	
C2	576	3.4	2.51	0.0059				13.0	
		2.8	2.51	0.0045	1f	2.24	0.0093	11.2	
		2.6	2.52	0.0045	2f	2.30	0.0179	10.5	
		2.5 f	2.52	0.0041	1.5 f	2.27	0.0116	11.2(*)	
D2	596	3.5	2.52	0.0057				9.5	
		2.9	2.52	0.0047	1f	2.28	0.0122	9.0(*)	
E2	636	3.9	2.53	0.0054				9.7	
		3.3	2.53	0.0044	1f	2.28	0.0107	9.2(*)	
F2	654	4.1	2.53	0.0060				9.1(*)	
		3.2	2.54	0.0047	1f	2.33	0.0030	8.3 ^c	
G2	658	3.9	2.53	0.0052				8.3(*)	
		2.9	2.54	0.0035	1f	2.31	0.0030	8.3 ^c	

^{*a*}(*) fits that are compatible with the observed ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts and shown in Figure 3, with the refined distances in bold; f = fixed; $S_0^2 = 0.87 f$; N = coordination number/frequency; *k*-fitting range = $3.5-12.0 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (11.2 Å⁻¹ for **A2**). ^{*b*} The residual (%) from the least-squares curve fitting is defined as $\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_{\exp}(i) - y_{\text{theo}}(i)|]/[\sum_{i=1}^{N} |y_{\exp}(i)|] \times 100$, where y_{\exp} and y_{theo} are experimental and theoretical data points, respectively. ^{*c*} Attempts to introduce a Cd-(N/O) contribution in the model.

For the cadmium(II) penicillamine solutions H2–N2, the Cd L₃-edge XANES spectra and corresponding smoothed second derivatives appeared quite similar, as expected from the small difference, 68 ppm, between the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts of solutions H2 and N2, and no further structural information was gained from the comparison with L₃-edge spectra of standard models (Figure 5).

Discussion

Cadmium(II) Cysteine Solutions. Solution A1 having $C_{\rm H,Cys} = 0.2 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ was obtained by dissolving the Cd(HCys)₂ precipitate by adding NaOH. While the ¹¹³Cd NMR spectrum of the solid [Cd(HCys)₂]·H₂O compound showed a broad signal with a peak maximum at ~640 ppm,³³ in solution the resonance shifts to 518 ppm (pH 7.5), and then to 527 ppm at pH 11.0 (A2). We recently proposed an oligomeric, "cyclic/cage" type of structure for the solid [Cd(HCys)₂]·H₂O compound with the cadmium(II) ions in CdS₃O or CdS₄ coordination sites, similar to **a** in Scheme 1.³³ When it

dissolves in solution A1, several species may exist in equilibrium (Scheme 1b–e), including [Cd(HCys) (Cys)]⁻ and [Cd(Cys)₂]²⁻ complexes, as indicated in the reported formation constants (Figure S-1, top left, Supporting Information).¹⁴ However, any appreciable amount of an oligomeric complex similar to **a** does not seem likely in solution, because of the shift of the ¹¹³Cd NMR signal from ~640 ppm for the [Cd(HCys)₂]·H₂O compound to a more shielded region (~520 ppm) for solution A1, which corresponds to two sulfur atoms in the coordination sphere of the cadmium(II) ion. Neither is complex **b** with CdS₂O₂ coordination likely to be present. The only reported CdS₂O₂ complexes, cadmium(II) thio- β -diketonate in acetone, 191 ppm,⁴¹ and two bis(phenoxide) bis(tetrahydrothiphene) cadmium(II) complexes, 76 and 144 ppm,⁴² show considerably higher shielding than that of solution A1 (518 ppm). However, these

⁽⁴¹⁾ Maitani, T.; Suzuki, K. T. Inorg. Nuclear Chem. Lett. 1979, 15, 213–217.

⁽⁴²⁾ Darensbourg, D. J.; Niezgoda, S. A.; Draper, J. D.; Reibenspies, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 4690–4698.

Article	Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 48, No. 1
Table 4. Cd K-edge EXAFS Data Analysis for Cadmium(II)	Penicillamine Solutions at pH = 7.5 (H1-L1) and pH = 11.0 (H2 - N2, see Figure 4) ^a

Solution	¹¹³ Cd NMR (δ, ppm)	Cd-S			Cd-(N/O)			
		N	$R(\text{\AA})$	σ^2 (Å ²)	Ν	$R(\text{\AA})$	σ^2 (Å ²)	R^b
H1	509	3.7	2.50	0.0081				11.8
		2.7	2.51	0.0058	1f	2.25	0.0072	10.6
		2.2	2.52	0.0052	2f	2.30	0.0098	10.6(*)
I1	541	3.5	2.50	0.0067	-5			12.1
		2.9	2.50	0.0055	1 f	2.25	0.0123	11.1
		2f	2.52	0.0041	2f	2.31	0.0074	11.6(*)
J1	566	3.5	2.50	0.0063	5			10.4
		3.3	2.50	0.0059	1 f	2.28	0.0294	10.4
		2.5 f	2.52	0.0046	1.5 f	2.32	0.0083	10.6(*)
K1	582	3.7	2.51	0.0061				10.3
		3.2	2.51	0.0052	1 f	2.28	0.0145	9.6(*)
		2.5 f	2.52	0.0040	1.5 f	2.31	0.0069	10.0
L1	602	4.1	2.53	0.0061				9.0
	002	3.7	2.53	0.0055	1 f	2.29	0.0190	8.7
		3f	2.53	0.0041	1f	2.30	0.0046	9 4(*)
H2	510	3.0	2.48	0.0064	1)	2100	010010	13.7
	010	2.0	2 49	0.0044	1 f	2 24	0.0069	12.2
		1.8	2.50	0.0038	2f	2.30	0.0090	11.9(*)
12	519	3.0	2 49	0.0057	2)	2.00	0.0090	13.7
12	517	23	2.49	0.0040	1 f	2 24	0.0078	11.9
		2.5	2.50	0.0040	$\frac{1}{2} f$	2.24	0.0137	12.0(*)
.12	547	3 3	2.50	0.0060	25	2.50	0.0157	9.6
	517	21	2.50	0.0030	1 f	2 27	0.0019	8.2
		2.1 2 f	2.52	0.0037	$\frac{1}{2} f$	2.27	0.0078	8.5(*)
K2	559	32	2 51	0.0056	25	2.02	0.0070	11.1
112	557	2.5	2.51	0.0042	1 f	2 27	0.0087	10.3
		$2.5 \\ 2.5 f$	2.51	0.0042	15f	2.27	0.0129	10.5(*)
L2	575	3 3	2.51	0.0045	1.5 j	2.51	0.012)	9.7
	575	2.9	2.51	0.0033	1 f	2 32	0.0143	9.6
		2.5 2.5 f	2.51	0.0043	15f	2.32	0.0145	9.8(*)
M2	578	3.0	2.52	0.0042	1.5 j	2.33	0.0100	8.8
1412	578	2.6	2.51	0.0045	1 <i>f</i>	2 27	0.0146	8.0
		2.0 2.5 f	2.51	0.0037	1 j 15 f	2.27	0.0140	0.1 8 2(*)
N2	578	2.5 J	2.52	0.0050	1.5 J	2.31	0.0105	12.5
112	576	2.5 f	2.53	0.0048	1.5 f	2.33	0.0062	11.8(*)
		5			5			

^{*a*} EXAFS spectra of **M1** and **N1** are not available. (*) fits that are compatible with the observed ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts and shown in Figure 4, with the refined distances in bold; f = fixed; $S_0^2 = 0.87 f$; N = coordination number/frequency; *k*-fitting range = 3.5–12.0 Å⁻¹. ^{*b*} Residual (%).

complexes contain S-donor ligands other than thiolates, and as discussed elsewhere,³³ for a cadmium(II) thiolate complex with a stable CdS_2O_2 coordination environment, a ¹¹³Cd chemical shift of ~400 ppm would be expected.

The coordination site for **d** is similar to that of cadmium (II)-substituted horse liver alcohol dehydrogenase (LADH), with a ¹¹³Cd chemical shift of 483 ppm for CdS₂NO_{water} coordination.²⁰ In a large excess of imidazole, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift for Cd(II)–LADH was observed at 519 ppm, which has been assigned to CdS₂N₂ coordination (see Table 1), similar to the coordination site of **e** in Scheme 1. On the basis of recent theoretical calculations of ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for proteins and model systems, it was proposed that the contribution for each type of ligand in a "tetrahedral" coordination geometry is $\delta_{\rm S} = 187$ ppm, $\delta_{\rm N} = 77$ ppm, $\delta_{\rm O}({\rm COO}^-) = -25$ ppm, and $\delta_{\rm O}({\rm H_2O}) = -53$ ppm;⁴³ that is, the carboxylate oxygen is somewhat less shielding than water. Therefore, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift for complex **c** is expected to be more deshielded than that of complex **d**, that is, ~500 ppm.

Hence, the broad peak observed at 518 ppm in the ¹¹³Cd NMR spectrum of solution A1 (pH 7.5) is proposed to result from a ligand exchange with an intermediate rate (on the NMR time scale) between species **c**, **d**, and **e** with CdS₂N(N/O) coordination, with estimated ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts of ~500 ppm (CdS₂NO_{COO}-), ~480 ppm (CdS₂NO_{water}), and ~520 ppm (CdS₂N₂), respectively. When the pH is raised to 11.0 (solution A2), the ¹¹³Cd NMR signal shifts slightly downfield to 527 ppm, probably due to the complete deprotonation of the amine group, which allows the [Cd(Cys)₂]²⁻ chelate complex (e) with CdS₂N₂ coordination to dominate in the solution (Scheme 2).

3, 2009 **5765**

Least-squares curve-fitting of the Cd K-edge EXAFS spectrum of A1 shows the minimum residual for a single Cd-S shell model with a refined coordination number of ~3.6 (Table 3). However, such a high number of sulfur backscatters should correspond to a δ (¹¹³Cd) value of at least 600 ppm (see Table 1) and also is not consistent with the stoichiometric ratio of H₂Cys/Cd(II) = 2.0 in solution A1. Although the fitted two-shell model shows slightly higher residuals, the differences between the fits are insignificant. The model including two Cd-(N/O) paths resulted in a coordination number of 1.9 for the Cd-S path. The Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) bond distances were 2.54 ± 0.02 and 2.34 ± 0.04 Å, respectively, which

⁽⁴³⁾ Hemmingsen, L.; Olsen, L.; Antony, J.; Sauer, S. P. A. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 9, 591–599.

Jalilehvand et al.



Figure 5. Normalized Cd L₃-edge XANES spectra and corresponding smoothed second derivatives for the cadmium(II)–cysteine (A2-G2) and cadmium(II)– penicillamine (H2-N2) solutions (pH = 11.0) and for crystalline compounds with CdS_x(N/O)_y coordination (ref 33). Dashed lines are at 3539.1 and 3541.3 eV.

fits well with a mixture of $[Cd(HCys)(Cys)]^ (CdS_2NO)$ and $[Cd-(S,N-Cys)_2]^{2-}$ (CdS_2N_2) species (**c**-**e**, Scheme 1) with distorted tetrahedral geometries.

EXAFS curve-fitting for solution A2 using the same $CdS_2(N/O)_2$ model results in a similar mean Cd-S distance, 2.53 \pm 0.02 Å, while the average Cd-(N/O) distance, 2.29 \pm 0.04 Å, is slightly shorter than that of solution A1. This is consistent with an increase of the dominating $[Cd(S,N-Cys)_2]^{2-}$ (CdS_2N_2) chelate complex (Scheme 1, e) with stronger bonds between the cadmium (II) ions and the deprotonated cysteine amine groups (- NH₂), and the observed ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shift at 527 ppm. For 10 structurally known cadmium(II) complexes with a CdS₂N₂ configuration, the average Cd-S and Cd-N distances are 2.473 and 2.288 Å, respectively (Supporting Information in ref 33), with the

former slightly shorter than that of solution A2. Figure 6 presents the separate contributions to the fitted EXAFS model for solution A2.

For solutions F1 and G1 with a large cysteine excess $(C_{H_2Cys} \sim 1.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$, probably with partially protonated amino groups (HCys⁻) at pH 7.5, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift is ~680 ppm, close to that of solution E1 (677 ppm). These chemical shifts are higher than the δ (¹¹³Cd) ranges for CdS₃O and CdS₃N but rather similar to those recently reported for CdS₃ configurations (Table 1). However, the mean Cd–S bond distances, 2.52–2.53 Å, obtained from EXAFS spectra of these solutions (Table 3) are much longer than the average Cd–S bond distance in three crystalline CdS₃ thiolate complexes (2.446 Å; Supporting Information in ref 33). For cadmium(II)-substituted rubredoxin from *Clostridium*

Article

Scheme 1. Transformations between Possible Types of Coordination for Mononuclear Cadmium(II)-Cysteine [Cd(HCys)(Cys)]⁻ (b-d) and $[Cd(Cys)_2]^{2-}$ (e) Complexes



^{*a*} The species c-e with $CdS_2N(N/O)$ coordination may exist in comparable amounts in solution A1 (pH 7.5), prepared by dissolving the solid Cd(HCys)2 · H2O compound. Structures a are two of the possible structures for this compound (ref 33), with the coordinated COO⁻ groups from cysteine ligands.

pasteurianum, a crystal structure determination at 1.5 Å resolution resulted in an average Cd–S distance of ~ 2.5 Å for a CdS₄ center.⁴⁴ For [Cd(S-cysteinate)₄]²⁻ complexes, there are several reports of higher-frequency δ ⁽¹¹³Cd) shifts, for example, for cadmium(II)-substituted LADH (751 ppm),²⁰ rubredoxin (723–732 ppm),^{21,22} and the DNA binding domain of the glucocorticoid hormone receptor (704, 710 ppm).²³ However, recently, the chemical shifts from a designed cysteine-rich TRI peptide at $\delta(^{113}\text{Cd}) = 650$ and 680 ppm could, with support from perturbed angular correlation (PAC) spectroscopy, be attributed to distorted tetrahedral $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^{2-1}$ complexes.²⁴ Therefore, on the basis of the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shift, solutions E1-G1 may contain 100% [Cd (S-cysteinate)₄]^{*n*-} (with the cysteine ligands in HCys⁻ or Cys^{2-} forms), or a combination of CdS_4 and $CdS_3(N/O)$ species.

The EXAFS spectra of solutions E1–G1 overlap (see Figure S-5, Supporting Information), as expected from the similarity of their ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts (677-680 ppm). Least-squares curve-fittings of these EXAFS spectra using only a single Cd-S shell resulted in a refined coordination number of 3.8-4.1. When the Cd-(N/O) path with a fixed contribution N = 1 was included in the fitting model, the frequency/coordination number for the Cd-S path refined to $N \sim 3$ for solutions E1 and G1. Both

Cd K-edge EXAFS data analyses for solutions E1–G1 does not confirm whether or not these solutions contain CdS₄ species exclusively.

The k^3 -weighted EXAFS oscillations of the corresponding alkaline (pH = 11.0) solutions F2-G2 containing deprotonated Cys²⁻ virtually overlap (see Figure S-6, Supporting Information). However, their increasing ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts, 636 ppm (E2), 654 ppm (F2), and 658 ppm (G2), are more sensitive to small changes in the distribution of the complexes than the mean Cd-S bond distances from EXAFS spectroscopy (Table 3). The ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for F2 and G2 are in between the values reported for CdS₃N configuration (see Table 1) and the distorted $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^{2-}$ complexes in the TRI peptide. The Cd K-edge EXAFS model fittings for these solutions resulted in very similar residuals for the CdS₄ or CdS₃N models (Table 3). However, the features in the Cd L₃-edge XANES spectra of F2 and G2, and their corresponding second derivatives, are almost identical to those of the CdS₄ model compound (see Cd L₃-edge XANES section above). Therefore, with emphasis on the Cd L₃edge XANES spectra, we propose that at pH 11 the dominating complex is $[Cd(S-Cys)_4]^{6-}$ with fully deprotonated Cys²⁻ ligands in the cadmium(II) cystellie solutions with $C_{\rm H_2Cys} > 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ (F2–G2; δ (¹¹³Cd) = 654-658 ppm), together with a minor amount of the [Cd- $(Cys)_{3}^{4-}$ (CdS₃N) complex. Those species (**h** and **j** in Scheme 2) are in equilibrium with fast ligand exchange, which results in one averaged signal in their NMR spectra. In the corresponding solutions at pH 7.5 (E1-G1), with ¹¹³Cd NMR signals at 677-680 ppm and partially protonated amine groups, $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^{n-1}$ (CdS₄) species are predominantly formed.

In solution **E2**, the $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ (CdS₃N) complex is dominating, as shown by the shift of the ¹¹³Cd NMR signal upfield to 636 ppm. The mean Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) distances of 2.53 \pm 0.02 and 2.28 \pm 0.04 Å for solution E2 are comparable to the corresponding average distances for the only structurally known cadmium(II) complex with a CdS₃N configuration (2.522 and 2.207 Å; Supporting Information in ref 33) and are consistent with our proposed structure **h** for the $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ complex in Scheme 2. Formation of a $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ complex with CdS₃N₂ coordination (Scheme S-1, Supporting Information) can be excluded, since the average Cd-S and especially the Cd-(N/O) bond distances for solution E2 are appreciably shorter than the mean Cd-S and Cd-N distances for five crystalline cadmium(II) complexes with CdS_3N_2 coordination (2.551 and 2.386 Å, respectively), which all are dinuclear complexes with long, bridging Cd-S bonds (Supporting Information in ref 33). As a specific example, the Cd(cysteaminate)_2 complex with CdS_3N_2 coordination (solid-state ¹¹³Cd NMR δ_{iso} = 669 ppm) could be considered with one short (2.534 Å) Cd-S bond distance and two longer bridging Cd–S distances at 2.572 and 2.620 Å, and a mean Cd–N distance of 2.376 Å,⁴⁵ which is \sim 0.1 Å longer than the mean Cd-(N/O) distances obtained for solution E2.

In solution D1 (pH = 7.5) with δ (¹¹³Cd) = 655 ppm, the $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^{n-}$ complex is expected to be the

models vielded similar residuals and reasonable distances (except F1), but too low/high Debye–Waller parameters for the Cd-(N/O) path. Therefore, the information from

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Maher, M.; Cross, M.; Wilce, M. C. J.; Guss, J. M.; Wedd, A. G. Acta Crystallogr. 2004, D60, 298-303.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Fleischer, H.; Dienes, Y.; Mathiasch, B.; Schmitt, V.; Schollmeyer, D. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 8087-8096.

Scheme 2. An Overview of the Dominating Mononuclear Species Present in the Cadmium(II)–Cysteine Solutions (A–G) at pH 7.5 and 11.0



dominating species as for F2 and G2, together with a minor amount of $[Cd(cysteinate)_3]^{2-}$ (CdS₃N) (i and g in Scheme 2). The EXAFS model fitting for solution D1 resulted in similar residuals for three different models, that is, CdS₄, CdS₃N, and a mixture of CdS₄ and CdS₃N (50:50) (Table 3), all with an average Cd–S distance of 2.53 ± 0.02 Å.

Curve-fitting of the EXAFS spectra for solutions B1 and C1 (pH 7.5) again resulted in the minimum residual for a single Cd-S shell model (Table 3); however, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts of 585-627 ppm show that these solutions contain mixtures of cadmium(II)-cysteine complexes that are in equilibrium with an intermediate ligand-exchange rate, with mainly CdS₃O and CdS₃N geometries (f and g in Scheme 2), for which the reported ranges of chemical shifts are 560–645 ppm and 637–659 ppm, respectively (Table 1). EXAFS model fitting using both Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) shells resulted in average bond distances of 2.54 ± 0.02 and 2.35 ± 0.04 Å, respectively (Table 3), which are close to the corresponding mean Cd–S and Cd–O distances, 2.53 and 2.30 A, for the crystalline cadmium(II) complex (HIm)[Cd-(tsa $c_{3}(H_{2}O)$], with CdS₃O coordination and a coordinated water molecule.40

The ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for solutions **B2–G2** are generally lower than those of solutions **B1–G1** with comparable ligand-to-metal ratios (Figure 1). The partial protonation of the amine groups $(-NH_3^+)$ in solutions

B1 and **C1** at pH 7.5 favors the formation of cadmium(II) cysteine complexes with CdS₃O coordination (from water). By increasing the cysteine concentration in the solutions **D1–G1**, another cysteine thiolate group can substitute the water and promote formation of the [Cd(*S*-cysteinate)₄]^{*n*} complex. By raising the pH to 11.0, that is, deprotonating all of the amine groups, the chelate complexes $[Cd(S,N-Cys)_2]^{2-}$ and $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ (**e** and **h** in Scheme 2) gain stability, which is reflected in the lower chemical shifts for the alkaline solutions (**B2–G2**), relative to those at pH 7.5 (**B1–G1**). These species are in fast ligand-exchange equilibrium, resulting in a single averaged peak in NMR.

The curve-fitting of EXAFS models for solutions A2– G2 and the corresponding Fourier-transforms are shown in Figure 3. For solutions B2–E2, where $C_{\rm H_2Cys}$ increases from 0.3 to 1.0 mol dm⁻³, the refinement of the Cd–S contribution shows a gradual increase in the coordination number from N = 2.2 to 3.3 (Table 3), indicating an increasing concentration of the [Cd(Cys)₃]^{4–} complex.

For solutions A2–D2, the Cd L₃-edge absorption spectra and their second derivatives are intermediate to the spectra of Cd(cysteaminate)₂ (as CdS₃N₂ model) and bis(thiosaccharinato)-bis(imidazole) cadmium(II) [Cd(tsac)₂(Im)₂] (as CdS₂N₂ model) (Figure 4 and Figure S-4, Supporting Information).^{39,40} This is consistent with a mixture of [Cd(Cys)₂]^{2–} and [Cd(Cys)₃]^{4–} complexes in solutions A2–D2. No standard complex with



Figure 6. Least-squares k^3 -weighted curve fitting for a CdS₂N₂ coordination model to the Cd K-edge EXAFS oscillation of the cadmium(II) cysteine solution A2 (pH = 11.0) and the corresponding Fourier transform (solid line, exptl; red dash line, fit), with the separate contributions below (see Table 3).

CdS₃N coordination was available for a more direct comparison.

Cadmium(II) Penicillamine Solutions. The ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for solutions H1 and H2 with C_{H_2Pen} = $0.2 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ are comparable (Figure 2) with those of corresponding cadmium(II) cysteine solutions A1 and A2 (Figure 1), and therefore, similar $CdS_2N(N/O)$ coordination environments are expected (like c-e, Scheme 2). The distribution diagram of the cadmium(II)-penicillamine complexes (Figure S-2a, top left, Supporting Information) supports this conclusion, indicating that solution H1 (pH 7.5) contains a mixture of [Cd(HPen)(Pen)]⁻ (CdS_2NO) and $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2-}$ (CdS_2N_2) complexes, while in solution H2 at pH 11.0, the $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2-}$ complex is the dominating species. This is also reflected in the broadness of ¹¹³Cd NMR signals for H1 and H2, where the broad signal for H1 indicates an intermediate ligand exchange between the cadmium(II) penicillamine complexes, and the narrow signal for H2 is interpreted as an indication for the presence of one dominating species.

For solution H1, the EXAFS curve-fitting resulted in the minimum residual for a two-shell model. When the contribution of the Cd–(N/O) path is fixed at N = 1.0, the Cd–S coordination number is refined to 2.7 (Table 4). For such a $CdS_3(N/O)$ coordination, however, a ¹¹³Cd chemical shift higher than 560 ppm would be expected. A model with a fixed Cd-(N/O) contribution at 2.0 resulted in a similar residual and corresponds better to the observed $\delta(^{113}Cd) = 509$ ppm. The average Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) bond distances 2.52 ± 0.02 and 2.30 ± 0.04 Å are slightly shorter than for the corresponding cysteine solution A1 (2.54 \pm 0.02 and 2.34 \pm 0.04 Å), indicating stronger Cd-S bonding for the penicillamine complexes (like $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{e}$ in Scheme 1), a result of the inductive effect of the two methyl groups adjacent to the thiolate sulfur atom. When the pH is increased to 11.0 (solution H2), a good fit is obtained to the EXAFS oscillation for a model with two Cd–S distances at 2.50 \pm 0.02 Å and two Cd– (N/O) distances at 2.30 \pm 0.04 Å (Table 4). The similarity to the average Cd-S (2.473 Å) and Cd-N (2.288 Å) bond distances for 10 crystalline CdS₂N₂ complexes (Supporting Information in ref 33) supports a dominating $[Cd(S, N-Pen)_2]^{2-}$ complex in solution H2, with CdS_2N_2 coordination as for e in Scheme 2. In the corresponding cadmium(II)-cysteine solution A2, the average Cd-S bond distance of 2.53 ± 0.02 Å is somewhat longer.

For solutions L1-N1 (pH 7.5) with a large excess of penicillamine ($C_{\text{H}_2\text{Pen}} \sim 0.87 - 1.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$), the ¹¹³Cd NMR chemical shifts are quite close, 602-607 ppm, in the ranges expected for CdS₃O and CdS₃N coordination (see Table 1), indicating mainly trithiolate [Cd(penicillaminate)₃]^{m-} species with deprotonated HPen⁻ or Pen² penicillamine ligands (similar to f and g in Scheme 2), for which no stability constants have been reported. These species are in fast ligand-exchange equilibrium. Their composition is probably comparable to that of the cadmium(II)-cysteine solution C1 (Scheme 2), with a rather similar ¹¹³Cd chemical shift of 627 ppm. The enhanced amplitude of the EXAFS oscillation for L1 relative to H1 indicates an increase in the Cd-S coordination number (Figure S-7, Supporting Information). EXAFS model fitting for solution L1 yielded average Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) distances of 2.53 ± 0.02 and $2.30 \pm$ 0.04 Å, respectively (Table 4). For the only reported crystalline cadmium(II) complex with CdS₃N coordination, the average bond distances are Cd-S, 2.522 Å, and Cd-N, 2.207 Å, (Supporting Information in ref 33), and for CdS₃O coordination in the thiosaccharinato complex $(HIm)[Cd(tsac)_3(H_2O)]$, the mean bond distances are Cd-S, 2.532 Å, and Cd-O, 2.304 Å,⁴⁰ in very good agreement with those for L1 (Table 4). Solutions I1-K1 with chemical shifts (541-582 ppm) between those of H1 and N1 would contain mixtures of cadmium(II)-penicillamine complexes with $CdS_2(N/O)_2$ and $CdS_3(N/O)$ coordination, similar to c-g in Scheme 2, that are in ligand-exchange equilibrium with an intermediate rate. EXAFS model fittings for solutions I1-K1 using different models, that is, $CdS_3(N/O)$, $CdS_2(N/O)_2$, or a mixture of $CdS_2(N/O)_2$ and $CdS_3(N/O)$ (50:50), result in equally good fits, with a Cd-S distance of 2.50-2.52 Å, and a Cd-(N/O) distance varying between 2.28 and 2.32 A.

The stability constants reported by Avdeef and Kearney¹⁶ propose polynuclear cadmium(II) penicillamine complexes in the pH range 4-8. According to the distribution diagram in Figure S-2b (top left, Supporting Information), solution H1 (pH 7.5) would contain almost equal amounts (~40%) of the $[Cd_3(HPen)_4(Pen)_2]^{2-}$ and $[Cd_3(HPen)_4(Pen)_2]^{2-}$ $(\text{Pen})_2$ ²⁻ (CdS_2N_2) complexes and a minor amount of the $[Cd_2(HPen)_3(Pen)_2]^{3-}$ complex. We expect that the polynuclear species would have structures similar to those shown in Scheme S-2 (see the Supporting Information). with CdS_4 and $CdS_3(N/O)$ coordination site(s). However, polynuclear cadmium(II) complexes seem unlikely in this solution (H1) for the following reason: For the two bridged CdS₄ groups forming the dinuclear cadmium (II) binding site of the GAL4 protein,²⁵ two ¹¹³Cd NMR signals were observed at 669 and 707 ppm.²⁶ The reported ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for CdS₂N₂, CdS₃O, and CdS₃N coordination are 519 ppm, 560-645 ppm, and 637 - 659 ppm, respectively (Table 1). Thus, the expected $\delta(^{113}\text{Cd})$ for a mixture of [Cd(Pen)₂]²⁻ and [Cd₃(HPen)₄(- Pen_{2}^{2} complexes should be close to ~600 ppm (for the coordination sites $CdS_2N_2 + 2 \times CdS_3(N/O) + CdS_4$;

5770 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 48, No. 13, 2009

similar to the $[Cd(HCys)_2]$ solid), rather than the experimental value of 509 ppm for solution H1.

When the pH of the solutions containing a large excess of penicillamine is increased to 11.0 in L2–N2 $(C_{\rm H2Pen} \sim 0.87-1.7 \, {\rm mol} \, {\rm dm}^{-3})$, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts become more shielded, moving to 575–578 ppm. Recently, chemical shifts of 574-588 ppm have been reported for a few members of the TRI family of peptides at pH 8.5–9.5 and were attributed to CdS₃O coordina-tion.^{27–29} In an earlier study on cadmium(II) thiolate complexes,^{46 113}Cd chemical shifts of 623 and 577 ppm were observed for alkaline cadmium(II) cysteine and penicillamine solutions (pH = 13, $C_{Cd(II)} = 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ $C_{\rm H_2L}/C_{\rm Cd(II)} = 12$). While the former value was attributed to the formation of the tetra-thiolate $[Cd(Cys)_4]^{6-}$ complex, the upfield shift of the corresponding penicillamine solution was interpreted as a result of the steric effect from the methyl groups, preventing ligation through the sulfur atom alone,46 or causing weaker Cd-S bonding and

therefore poorer deshielding of the thiolate groups.¹⁸ We may interpret the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts of L2–N2 in two different ways: (1) either these solutions exclusively contain the $[Cd(S-Pen)_3]^{4-}$ complex with CdS₃O coordination, where the O-donor ligand is water (or OH⁻), or (2) a mixture of $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2-}$ (CdS_2N_2) and $[Cd(Pen)_3]^{4-}$ (CdS₃N) complexes are present in a fast ligand-exchange equilibrium. In the first case, the downfield shift of the NMR signal to 602–607 ppm for the corresponding L1– N1 solutions would be difficult to explain. If we assume that the solutions L2-N2 would contain the $[Cd(Pen)_3 (H_2O)$]⁴⁻ (CdS₃O) complex, the composition should not change at pH 7.5, when most of the coordinated cysteine amine groups are protonated. Assuming the existence of a hydroxo complex $[Cd(Pen)_3(OH)]^{5-}$ (CdS_3O) in alkaline solutions L2-N2 (as shown in Figure S-2a,b, Supporting Information) would require a hydrated [Cd(Pen)3- (H_2O)]⁴⁻ complex at pH 7.5. Since H₂O is a more shielding ligand than OH^{-,47} the NMR signal for [Cd(Pen)₃- (H_2O) ⁴⁻ would be more shielded than for [Cd(Pen)₃(OH)]⁵ in alkaline solution. However, this is opposite of the observed trend for the ¹¹³Cd chemical shift for solution L1 (pH 7.5), which is more deshielded than L2 (pH 11.0). Hence, a hydroxo complex in L2 does not seem to be feasible, and therefore, we conclude that the solutions L2-N2 ($C_{\text{H,Pen}} \ge 0.9 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) contain mixtures of $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2^{-1}and} [Cd(Pen)_3]^{4^{-1}}$ complexes, similar to the cadmium(II)-cysteine solution C2 with a ¹¹³Cd NMR

- (49) Giedroc, D. P.; Johnson, B. A.; Armitage, I. M.; Coleman, J. E. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 2410–2418.
- (50) Roberts, W. J.; Pan, T.; Elliott, J. I.; Coleman, J. E.; Williams, K. R. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 10043-10047.
- (51) South, T. L.; Kim, B.; Summers, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 395-396.
- (52) Fitzgerald, D. W.; Coleman, J. E. *Biochemistry* 1991, *30*, 5195–5201.
 (53) Bobsein, B. R.; Myers, R. J. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1981, *256*, 5313–5316.
 (54) Meijers, R.; Morris, R. J.; Adolph, H. W.; Merli, A.; Lamzin, V. S.;
 Cedergren-Zeppezauer, E. S. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, *276*, 9316–9321.

chemical shift of 577 ppm (see Figure 1 and e and h in Scheme 2).

The EXAFS spectra of solutions L2-N2 almost overlap (Figure S-8, Supporting Information), as would be expected from the similarity of their ¹¹³Cd NMR spectra. The single-shell Cd-S model refinements of these spectra resulted in coordination numbers between 3.0 and 3.4 and a mean Cd–S distance of 2.51 ± 0.02 Å, which is longer than the average Cd-S bond distance in the crystalline trithiolate CdS₃ complexes (2.446 A; Supporting Information in ref 33). Adding Cd-(N/O) backscattering to the fitting model slightly improved the residual for L2 and M2. The model fitted to the EXAFS spectra of solutions L2–N2, assuming a 50:50 mixture of the $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2-1}$ (CdS_2N_2) and $[Cd(Pen)_3]^{4-}$ (CdS_3N) complexes by fixing the coordination numbers to $CdS_{2.5}N_{1.5}$, resulted in mean Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) distances of 2.52 ± 0.02 and 2.31-2.33 Å, respectively (Table 4).

The ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for M1 and N1 (604-607 ppm) are upfield relative to those of the corresponding cadmium(II)-cysteine solutions F1 and G1 (679-680 ppm) with similar ligand-to-metal molar ratios (C_{H_2Cvs} / $C_{\rm Cd(II)} = 15-20$). This upfield shift is probably an effect of the steric hindrance from the two methyl groups close to the thiolate group, preventing the formation of [Cd(Spenicillamine)₄]ⁿ⁻ (CdS₄) species in these solutions. We also observe that the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts for the cadmium(II) cysteine solutions F2 and G2 at pH 11.0 (654-658 ppm) are considerably more deshielded than those of the corresponding penicillamine solutions M2 and N2 (578 ppm). According to the Cd L₃-edge XANES spectra, solutions F2 and G2 with comparable ligand excesses $(C_{\text{H}_2\text{Cys}} \ge 1.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ mainly contain the $[\text{Cd}(\text{Cys})_4]^{6-}$ complex, possibly with some minor amount of $[Cd-(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ but not $[Cd(Cys)_2]^{2-}$. One reason is the fact that the cysteine thiolate group does not experience the steric hindrance problem that the penicillamine thiolate has. Therefore, in the presence of an excess amount of cysteine in the solution, the formation of cadmium(II) complexes with a higher thiolate coordination number is facilitated. Another reason is probably related to the lower stability of the $[Cd(Cys)_2]^{2-}$ complex in comparison with $[Cd(Pen)_2]^{2-}$, as indicated by its slightly shorter mean Cd-S bond distance, 2.50 ± 0.02 Å (solution H2) versus 2.53 ± 0.02 Å for $[Cd(Cys)_2]^{2-}$ (in solution A2), see Tables 3 and 4.

Conclusion

Cadmium(II) complex formation with cysteine or penicillamine (3.3'-dimethylcysteine) has been studied at the pH values 7.5 and 11.0 using ¹¹³Cd NMR and Cd K and L₃-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy, for solutions with $C_{\rm Cd(II)} \sim 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and ligand-to-metal molar ratios varied from $C_{\text{H}_2\text{L}}/C_{\text{Cd(II)}} = 2.0$ to 20. At $C_{\text{H}_2\text{L}}/C_{\text{Cd(II)}} =$ 2.0, both ligands form complexes with distorted tetrahedral $CdS_2N(N/O)$ coordination geometries, which correspond to a single ¹¹³Cd NMR resonance at 509-527 ppm. For the $[Cd(cysteinate)_2]^{k-}$ species at pH 7.5, the average Cd-S and Cd-(N/O) bond distances from Cd K-edge EXAFS spectra, 2.54 ± 0.02 and 2.34 ± 0.04 Å, respectively, show a slight tendency to become shorter for the dominating $[Cd(S,N-Cys)_2]^{2-}$ complex formed when the amine groups

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Carson, G. K.; Dean, P. A. W.; Stillman, M. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981. 56. 59-71.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Jonsson, N. B.-H.; Tibell, L. A. E.; Evelhoch, J. L.; Bell, S. J.; Sudmeier, J. L. Proc. Natl. Acd. Sci. U.S.A. 1980, 77, 3269–3272.
 (48) Xiao, Z.; Lavery, M. J.; Ayhan, M.; Scrofani, S. D. B.; Wilce, M. C.

J.; Guss, J. M.; Tregloan, P. A.; George, G. N.; Wedd, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4135-4150.

⁽⁵⁵⁾ Engeseth, H. R.; McMillin, D. R.; Otvos, J. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 4822-4826.

Article

deprotonate at pH 11.0, to 2.53 ± 0.02 and 2.29 ± 0.04 Å. The $[Cd(S,N-Pen)_2]^{2-}$ complex that forms in the corresponding penicillamine solution at pH 11.0 has a slightly shorter Cd–S bond distance, 2.50 ± 0.02 Å, but the Cd–(N/O) distance remains similar, 2.30 ± 0.04 Å.

For solutions with a higher ligand concentration, the ¹¹³Cd resonances shift downfield, which indicates an increasing number of thiolate ligands in the cadmium(II) complexes. For solutions containing a large excess of cysteine $(C_{\rm H_2Cys}/C_{\rm Cd(II)} = 10-20)$, the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts of ~680 ppm at pH 7.5, and the average Cd–S bond distance of $2.53 \pm$ 0.02 Å, were attributed to a predominant $[Cd(S-cysteinate)_4]^{n-1}$ complex, with the cysteine ligands in $HCys^{-}$ or Cys^{2-} forms. The average Cd-S distance does not change at pH 11, and the Cd L3-edge XANES spectra for alkaline solutions with $C_{\rm H_2Cys}/C_{\rm Cd(II)} = 15-20$ show similar features to those in the spectrum of the CdS₄ model compound. However, the ¹¹³Cd resonances of the solutions shift upfield to 636-658 ppm, indicating that, when all thiol and amine groups of the cysteine ligands are deprotonated, a minor amount of the $[Cd(Cys)_3]^{4-}$ (CdS_3N) complex is present together with the dominating $[Cd(Cys)_4]^{6-}$ complex in these solutions.

For cadmium(II)-penicillamine solutions with similar ligand excesses, at pH 7.5, the average Cd–S and Cd– (N/O) bond distances are 2.53 ± 0.02 and 2.30 ± 0.04 Å (for $C_{\text{H_2Pen}}/C_{\text{Cd(II)}} = 10$), while their ¹¹³Cd resonance (at ~600 ppm) indicates that [Cd(penicillaminate)_3]^{m-} complexes with CdS₃(N/O) geometry are dominating. That upfield shift of ~80 ppm relative to the corresponding cadmium (II)-cysteine solutions is probably an effect of the steric hindrance by the two methyl groups in penicillamine, which obstructs formation of the [Cd(S-penicillaminate)_4]ⁿ⁻ complex. At pH 11.0, the average Cd–S bond distances remain unchanged, while the ¹¹³Cd chemical shifts are found to be ~578 ppm. Those signals, again about 60–80 ppm upfield relative to similar cadmium(II)-cysteine solutions, indicate that these solutions contain a mixture of [Cd(Pen)_3]⁴⁻ and [Cd(*S*,*N*-Pen)_2]²⁻ complexes, with the latter being more

stable than the corresponding $[Cd(S,N-Cys)_2]^{2-}$ complex, consistent with its shorter Cd-S bond distance (see above).

The differences revealed between cysteine and penicillamine as ligands to cadmium(II) ions in the present study can be linked to the fact that the toxicity of cadmium(II) is reduced when captured in vivo by cysteine-rich metallothionines in CdS_4 coordination sites, while penicillamine, which has been clinically used for treating the toxic effects of mercury(II) and lead(II) exposure, is not an efficient antidote against cadmium(II) poisoning.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Qiao Wu and Dorothy Fox at the instrument facility at the Department of Chemistry, University of Calgary, for their skilful assistance in measuring the NMR spectra. Beam time was allocated for X-ray absorption measurements at the Photon Factory, Tsukuba, Japan (proposal No. 2005G226), and SSRL (proposal No. 2848), which is operated by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U. S. A. The SSRL Biotechnology Program is supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources, Biomedical Technology Program, and by the Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research. We gratefully acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI), Alberta Science and Research Investments Program (ASRIP), Alberta Synchrotron Institute (ASI), and the University of Calgary for providing financial support. F.J. is a recipient of the NSERC University Faculty Award (UFA).

Supporting Information Available: Diagrams for the distribution of cadmium(II) cysteine and penicillamine complexes, EXAFS curve-fitting results for CdS_3O and CdS_2N_2 model compounds, comparison of the EXAFS spectra for solutions E-G, H, and L (pH 7.5 and 11) and L2-N2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.